THURSDAY, DECEMBER 30, 1869

A DEDUCTION FROM DARWIN'S THEORY

 $T \stackrel{\rm HERE}{\scriptstyle \rm Darwin's}$ profound theory which has not yet been noticed so far as I am aware. The theory is capable under certain reasonable conditions of accounting for the fact that the highest forms of civilisation have appeared in temperate climates.

Although some apparent exceptions might be adduced, it is no doubt true that man displays his utmost vigour and perfection, both of mind and body, in the regions intermediate between extreme heat and extreme cold, allowance being made for the reduced temperature of elevated mountain districts. The explanations hitherto given of this fact are of a purely hypothetical and shallow character. It is said, for instance, that the prolific character of the tropical climate too easily furnishes man with subsistence, so that his powers are never properly called into action. On the other hand in the Arctic regions nature is too sterile and no exertions can lead to the accumulation of much wealth. This explanation obviously involves the gratuitous hypothesis that man has been created with powers exactly suited to be called forth by just that degree of difficulty experienced in a temperate climate. There are those even who maintain our peculiar British climate to be the very best possible, because it taxes our powers of endurance to the last point which they can bear, and thus calls forth the greatest amount of energy. But here again is the assumption that the British people and the British climate were specially created to suit each other.

The theory of natural selection, on the other hand, represents that great method by which infinitely numerous adaptations will always be produced throughout time. Whatever happens in this material world must happen in consequence of the properties originally impressed upon matter, and our notions of the wisdom embodied in the Creation must be infinitely raised when we understand, however imperfectly, its true method. The continual resort to special inventions and adaptations must surely be below the greatness of a Power which could so design and create matter from the first that it must go on thenceforth inventing and adapting forms of life without apparent limit, in pursuance of one uniform principle.

I conceive it to be the essential consequence of Darwin's views that no form of life is to be regarded as a fixed form; but that all living beings, including man, are in a continual process of adjustment to the conditions in which they live. If this be so, it will of necessity follow that the longer any race dwells in given circumstances, the more perfectly will it become adapted to those circumstances. A migratory race, on the contrary, will always be liable to enter climates unsuited to it, and less favourable to the development of the greatest amount of energy. Negroes can bear a tropical heat simply because the race has grown more accustomed to it than Europeans, who bring with them indeed a superior degree of energy and intellect, but soon sicken and fail to reproduce themselves in equal perfection.

The intellect of man renders him far more migratory than most other animals, and when we look over long periods of time we must regard him as in a constant state of oscillation between the equator and the borders of perpetual snow. It will of necessity follow that the race, as a whole, will be better adapted to a medium than to an extreme climate. Not only may the same race have passed alternately through colder and hotter climates, but it is obvious that the tribes which intermix and intermarry in temperate regions will have come, some from a hotter and some from a colder region. The amalgamated race will therefore be precisely adapted to a medium climate. The inhabitants of the Arctic regions, on the contrary, must have come entirely from a warmer climate, and those of a tropical region from a colder climate, so that ages must pass before either re-adapts itself perfectly to its new circumstances.

It is hardly to be expected that history can afford complete corroboration of this theory; but I do not think that historical facts can be adduced in serious opposition to it. The progress of archæological and linguistic inquiry shows more and more clearly that the civilised parts of the earth have been inhabited by a succession of different races. A really aboriginal and indigenous people, growing upon a single island or spot of ground without kinship with other races, is not known to exist; and it is almost certain that all races have descended from a few stocks, if not from a single one. The evidences of extensive and frequent migrations are thus most complete, even if we had not distinct historical facts concerning the rapid and extensive movements of the Goths, Huns, Moors, Scandinavians, and many other races.

If the historical evidence disagrees with the theory in any point, it is that the migrations from temperate to extreme climates greatly over-balance any opposite movement. It would hardly, perhaps, be too much to represent the temperate regions of the Old World as the birthplace of successive races, which have diverged and died away more or less rapidly in distant and extreme climates. But if such be the conclusion from historical periods, it would only indicate that the human race had already acquired, in prehistoric times, a constitution displaying its greatest vitality in temperate regions. There can be no doubt that, were the rest of the world uninhabited by man, a very inferior race, such as the negroes of tropical Africa, would gradually re-people it; but they cannot do so in the present state of things, because they come into conflict with races of superior intellect and energy.

I would add in conclusion that the utmost result of speculations of this kind, supposing them to be valid, would consist in establishing a general tendency, so that the probabilities will be in favour of a great display of civilisation occurring in temperate climates rather than elsewhere. I do not for a moment suppose that any common physical cause, such as soil, climate, mineral wealth, or geographical position, or any combination of such causes, can alone account for the rise and growth of civilisation in Assyria, Egypt, Greece, Italy, or England. Material resources are nothing without the mind which knows how to use them. No physiology of protoplasm, no science that yet has a name, or perhaps ever will have a name, can account for the evolution of intellect in all its endless developments. The vanity of the Comtists leads them to suppose that their philosophy can compass

the bounds of existence and account for the evolution of history; but the scientific man remembers that however complicated the facts which he reduces under the grasp of his laws, yet beyond all doubt there remain other groups of facts of surpassing complication. Science may ever advance, but, like an improved telescope in the hands of an astronomer, it only discloses the unsuspected extent and difficulty of the phenomena yet unreduced to law. W. STANLEY JEVONS